

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

|                           |   |                        |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------|
| VICTOR GALINSKI,          | ) |                        |
|                           | ) |                        |
| Petitioner,               | ) | No. C 06-6524 CRB (PR) |
|                           | ) |                        |
| vs.                       | ) | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE    |
|                           | ) |                        |
| BEN CURRY, Acting Warden, | ) |                        |
|                           | ) |                        |
| Respondent.               | ) |                        |
| _____                     | ) |                        |

Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at the Correctional Training Facility in Soledad, California, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the California Board of Parole Hearings' ("BPH") October 12, 2005 decision to deny him parole.

**BACKGROUND**

On February 23, 1983, petitioner was convicted of first degree murder in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Diego and was sentenced to an indeterminate term of 25 years to life with the possibility of parole.

Petitioner has been denied parole each time he has appeared before the BPH. On September 20, 2006, the Supreme Court of California denied his challenge to the BPH's decision of October 12, 2005.

## DISCUSSION

### A. Standard of Review

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." Id. § 2243.

### B. Legal Claims

Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief from the BPH's October 12, 2005 decision finding him not suitable for parole on the ground that the decision does not comport with due process. Among other things, petitioner contends that the decision is arbitrary and not supported by the evidence. Liberally construed, petitioner's claims appear colorable under § 2254 and merit an answer from respondent. See Sass v. Cal. Bd. of Prison Terms, 461 F.3d 1123, 1127-29 (9th Cir. 2006) (finding that refusal to set parole date for prisoner with 15-to-life sentence implicated prisoner's liberty interest in release on parole which cannot be denied without adequate procedural due process protections). Biggs v. Terhune, 334 F.3d 910, 914-15 (9th Cir. 2003) (same).

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

1. The clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto on respondent and respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on petitioner.

1           2.       Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within  
2       60 days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule  
3       5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of  
4       habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and  
5       serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been  
6       transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues  
7       presented by the petition.

8           3.       If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by  
9       filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent within 30 days of his  
10      receipt of the answer.

11      SO ORDERED.

12      DATED: Feb. 26, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge